Pra titi n r	's Do	ket	N
--------------	-------	-----	---

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

n re application of:

Michael J. Docy, et. al.

Application No.: 09 / 774,765

Group No.:

2855

Filed: January 30, 2001 Examiner:

Michael T. Cygan

For: Fuel Tank Tester

Mail Stop RCE **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114)

1. Applicant hereby requests continued examination, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.114, for the above identified application.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 Request for continued examination:

(a) If prosecution in an application is closed, an applicant may request continued examination of the application by filing a submission and the fee set forth in § 1.17(e) prior to the earliest of;

- (1) Payment of the issue fee, unless a petition under § 1.313 is granted;
- (2) Abandonment of the application; or
- (3) The filing of a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 141, or the commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 145 or 146, unless the appeal or civil action is terminated.
- (b) Prosecution in an application is closed as used in this section means that the application is under appeal, or that the last Office action is a final action (§ 1.113), a notice of allowance (§ 1.311), or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

ΙXΙ	Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	vice in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for	Patents, P.O.
	37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 °	
X	with sufficient postage as first class mail.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Addresse	e"
		Mailing Label No	(mandatory)
	•	TRANSMISSION	
	facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Transmitted	demark Office, (703)	
		James A. Hudsk	
Date: 4/13/04	4/12/04	Signature	
	James A. Hudak		
		(type or print name of person certifying)	

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any c rtificat of mailing r transmission und r § 1.8 continu s to b tak n int account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office t Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (\$ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 1 f 6)

04/19/2004 RHEBRAHT 00000008 09774765

용용

(c) A submission as used in this section includes, but is not limited to, an information disclosure statem nt, an amendment to th written description, claims, or drawings, new arguments, or new vidence in support f patentability. If reply to an Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111.

(d) If an applicant timely files a submission and fee set forth in § 1.17(e), th Office will withdraw the finality of any Office action and the submission will be entered and considered. If an applicant files a request for continued examination under this section after appeal, but prior to a decision on the appeal, it will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal and to reopen prosecution of the application before the examiner. An appeal brief under § 1.192 or a reply brief under § 1.193(b), or related papers, will not be considered a submission under this section."

NOTE: An applicant may file a submission under 37 CFR 1.114 containing only an information disclosure statement (37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98) in an application subject to a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. § 151. An appeal brief or a reply brief (or related papers) will not be considered a submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See 37 CFR 1.114(d). The submission, however, may consist of the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief, or may simply consist of a statement that incorporates by reference the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief. In addition, a previously filed amendment after final may satisfy this submission requirement. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A5.

NOTE: Even though an RCE is improper (e.g., because it was filed before the prosecution is closed), an amendment submitted with the RCE will still be entered and considered by the examiner since it was timely filed and responsive to the non-final Office action in compliance with 37 CFR 1.111, American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A4.

WARNING: 35 U.S.C. 132(b) and § 1.114 provide for the continued examination of an application and not examination of a continuing application). Accordingly, the Office will not permit an applicant to obtain continued examination on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

The provisions of 37 CFR 1.114 also do not apply (1) to a provisional application; (2) an application **WARNING:** for a utility or plant patent filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) before June 8, 1995; (3) an international application filed under 35 U.S.C. 363 before June 8, 1995; (4) a patent under reexamination or (5) an application for a design patent. 37 CFR § 1.114(e).

The PTO has pointed out why § 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114. The PTO explained that since an RCE filing is a reply under 35 U.S.C. 132, the applicant may be entitled to patent term adjustment if the Office does not act on an application containing a request for continued examination under § 1.114 within four months. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(ii). Thus, the Office cannot delay action on RCE applications for three months to determine whether an information disclosure statement will be filed. The Office, however, is adopting provisions (§ 1.103(c)) for a limited suspension of action after the filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.114, for the applicant to obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement (or amendments, or an affidavit or declaration) after the filing of the RCE. See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091-50105, at page 50100 (comment 11); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13-24

WARNING: One of the time periods excluded from patent term adjustment is the time consumed by a continued examination request under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) (§ 1.114(b) (1)).

> The Office will not suspend action in an application when a reply by the applicant is outstanding. 35 U.S.C. 133 requires an applicant to "prosecute the application" within six months of an Office action (or a shorter period as set in the Office action) to avoid abandonment of the application. If an applicant files a request for continued examination but does not also provide any submission (in reply to the prior Office action) within the period for reply to the prior Office action, the application is abandoned by operation of law (35 U.S.C. 133).

> The Office will treat a request for continued examination under § 1.114 containing a bona fide submission that is not fully responsive to the prior Office action under the practice set forth in § 1.135(c). In addition, under the limited suspension of action provisions of § 1.103(c), an applicant must still file a request for continued examination practice in compliance with § 1.114, but may obtain additional time (prior to the issuanc of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statem nt, amendments, or an affidavit or declaration after the filing of the request for continued examination.

> > (Request for C ntinued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 2 of 6)

WARNING:

See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Chang s to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091–50105, at page 50102 (c mment 20); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13–24] Page 50102

WARNING: Section 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statem nt will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continu d examination under § 1.114.

NOTE: The re is no limit to the number of times the fee for continued examination may be submitted. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

NOTE: Unlike a continuation application, a continued examination request can utilize the mailing procedure of 37 CFR 1.8. See 37 CFR § 1.8(a)(2)(i)(A).

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ 385.00

TIME REQUEST IS BEING MADE

2. This request is being submitted (check appropriate item(s) below):						
i	X	Prior to abandonment of the application				
ii.		Payment of the issue fee				
			Prior to payment of issue fee			
			Issue fee has been paid but a petition under § 1.313 has been granted			
iii.		Prio	r to a decision on appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences			
			A notice is being separately sent to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences that this Request for Continued Examination is being filed.			
NOTE: If such a notice is not sent to the Board then may refuse to vacate a decision rendered after the filing of the RCE but before recognition by the Office of the RCE request under § 1.114.						
iv.	□		eal to the U.S. Court of Appeals of the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 145 Commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 146			
			Prior to the filing of such appeal or commencement of civil action			
			Such appeal or commencement of civil action has been terminated			
			ENCLOSURES			
3. Enclosed herewith is/are:						
WARNING: If reply to a final or non-final Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111. 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(b).						
	An	infor	mation disclosure (37 C.F.R. § 1.98)			
		For	m PTO-1449 (PTO/SB/08A and 08B)			
X	<u>A</u> n	amer	ndment			
	New arguments					
	☐ New evidence in support of patentability					
	Oth	er:				

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ _____________